UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/595,285 | 04/05/2006 | Shigenori Morimoto | KOMOP0112US | 6824 |
| | 7590 10/06/200 (ALINO (GENERAL) | EXAMINER | | |
| RENNER, OTT | O, BOISSELLE & SK | BEATTY, ROBERT B | | |
| 1621 EUCLID AVENUE, NINETEENTH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44115-2191 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2852 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | 10/06/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Applica | ation No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/595 | ,285 | MORIMOTO ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | | ner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Robert | B. Beatty | 2852 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply | ication appears on | the cover sheet with t | he correspondence add | dress | | | |
| A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Issions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stree to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a | THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply but d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABAND | TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this co ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| | Responsive to communication(s) file | od op 05 April 2006 | | | | | | |
| • | | ed on <u>00 April 2000</u> 2b)⊠ This action is | | | | | | |
| — | | <i>′</i> — | | prospection as to the | morite is | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| | · | ce under Ex parte | <i>adayle</i> , 1900 C.D. 11 | 1, 400 0.0. 210. | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the a | application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)🛛 | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,11-20,25 and 26</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)🛛 | 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-10 and 21-24</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 ' | The specification is objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any obje | ction to the drawing(s | s) be held in abeyance. | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inforr | t (s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/26/06</u> . | PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Ma | nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/595,285 Page 2

Art Unit: 2852

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is

clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the reference numerals

should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

3. Claim 6,11-24,26 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 6, lines 3-4, the applicant mentions "first eccentric cam" however

this lacks proper antecedent basis. It is believed this claim should depend from

claim 5.

In claim 11, the applicant states that the "moving path" of the endless belt is

made of a "first through a third path" which is unclear / awkward. It is believed the

path of the endless belt is the same although the position or shape of the belt would

change depending on the mode selected. See claim 15 and dependents therefrom.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102

that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in

the United States.

4. Claims 1-4,11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sakagami et al. (JP# 2003-107847).

Sakagami et al. teach an image forming apparatus comprising an endless transfer belt 52 stretched between a driving and driven roller 50,51, a plurality of image carriers 10a, 10b, 10c, 10d facing the transfer belt within a prescribed range, and a plurality of image transfer rollers 13a, 13b, 13c, 13d which transfer an image from the associated image carrier to the a recording medium transported by the transfer belt. A supporting mechanism 57 for supporting transfer rollers 13a, 13b, 13c and a supporting mechanism 58 for supporting transfer rollers 13d is located within the transfer belt. A eccentric cam 61 will move the supporting mechanism 57 and an eccentric cam 62 will move the supporting mechanism 58. When a full color copy is needed (black, cyan, yellow, magenta) the cams move the supporting mechanisms so that the transfer rollers are biased against the image carriers via the transfer belt (applicant's second moving path; see Fig. 1). When a monochrome copy is needed, the supporting mechanism 57 will be moved away from the image carriers via the rotation of the eccentric cam 61. Thus only the transfer rollers 13d will be biased against the image carrier 10d via the transfer belt for producing a monochrome (black) image (applicant's third moving path; see Fig.2). In a stand-by position, both the supporting mechanisms 57, 58 will be moved so that all the transfer rollers are out of engagement with the image carriers (applicant's first moving path; see Fig. 3). As seen in Fig. 4-5, a tension roller 54 is biased against the

interior of the transfer belt and is associated with the supporting mechanism 57 / cam 61 and spring 55.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 20,25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakagami et al. (JP# 2003-107847) in view of Hayashi et al. (JP# 2000-275985).

Sakagami et al. taught supra discloses most of what is claimed except the tension roller for the transfer belt having a larger radius in it central region than the end regions. In addition, the transfer belt being an intermediate transfer belt is not taught. Hayashi et al. teach an image forming apparatus which has a plurality of image carriers 7Y, 7M, 7C, 7K facing an intermediate transfer belt 2. The images from the image carriers are superimposed on the intermediate transfer belt and finally transferred to a recording sheet P via transfer roller 16. The intermediate transfer belt has a tension roller 5 with a crown shape (middle region having larger diameter, see Fig.4) or a inverted crown (Fig.3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a tension roller

Art Unit: 2852

for a transfer belt in the shape of a crown because using a crown shape will cause the belt to stretch in the width wise direction so as to prevent skewing of the belt which is well known in the electrophotographic art. Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an intermediate transfer belt to form color images because is an equally well known manner to form superimposed color images onto a recording sheet (Sakagami et al. superimposes them right onto the recording sheet); the method of using the intermediate transfer belt allows for better correction of registration errors since using paper sheets have more conveyance variability.

- 6. Claims 5-10,21-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nakayama et al., Kanekura et al., Numazu et al., and Onuki et al. all teach various mechanisms for moving transfer belts using cams.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,285 Page 6

Art Unit: 2852

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Robert Beatty whose telephone number is (571)

272-2130. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, David Gray, can be reached on (571) 272-2119. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Robert Beatty/

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2852

October 7, 2008